

# Report to those charged with governance (ISA 260) 2014/15

London Borough of Tower Hamlets Pension Fund

November 2015



The contact in connecti report are:

Tel: + 44 antony.smith Ian Livingst Assistant Manager KPMG LLP (UK)

Tel:

+44 [0]207 694 8570

ian.livingstone@kpmg.co.uk

### **Contents**

The contacts at KPMG		
in connection with this	Report sections	Page
report are:	Introduction	2
Andrew Sayers		
Partner	Headlines	3
KPMG LLP (UK)	Financial statements	4
Tel: + 44 [0]207 694 8981	Annondiaco	
andrew.sayers@kpmg.co.uk	Appendices	
Antony Smith	1. Audit differences	8
Manager	2. Declaration of independence and objectivity	9
KPMG LLP (UK)		3
Tel: + 44 [0]207 311 2355	3. Materiality and reporting of audit differences	11
antony.smith@kpmg.co.uk	4. KPMG Audit Quality Framework	12
lan Livingstone		

This report is addressed to the Authority and has been prepared for the sole use of the Authority. We take no responsibility to any member of staff acting in their individual capacities, or to third parties. The Audit Commission issued a document entitled Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies summarising where the responsibilities of auditors begin and end and what is expected from audited bodies. We draw your attention to this document which is available on Public Sector Audit Appointment's website (www.psaa.co.uk).

External auditors do not act as a substitute for the audited body's own responsibility for putting in place proper arrangements to ensure that public business is conducted in accordance with the law and proper standards, and that public money is safeguarded and properly accounted for, and used economically, efficiently and effectively.

We are committed to providing you with a high quality service. If you have any concerns or are dissatisfied with any part of KPMG's work, in the first instance you should contact Andrew Sayers, the engagement lead to the Authority, who will try to resolve your complaint. If you are dissatisfied with your response please contact the national lead partner for all of KPMG's work under our contract with Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited, Trevor Rees (on 0161 246 4000, or by email to trevor.rees@kpmg.co.uk). After this, if you are still dissatisfied with how your complaint has been handled you can access PSAA's complaints procedure by emailing generalenguiries@psaa.co.uk, by telephoning 020 7072 7445 or by writing to Public Sector Audit Appointments Limited, 3rd Floor, Local Government House, Smith Square, London, SW1P 3HZ.



# Section one Introduction

#### This document summarises:

the key issues identified during our audit of the financial statements for the year ended 31 March 2015 for the Pension Fund.

#### Scope of this report

This report summarises the key findings arising from:

 our audit work at the London Borough of Tower Hamlets Pension Fund ('the Pension Fund') in relation to the Pension Fund's 2014/15 financial statements and Annual Report.

#### Financial statements

Our *External Audit Plan 2014/15*, presented to you in June 2015, set out the four stages of our financial statements audit process.



This report focuses on the third stage of the process: substantive procedures. Our on site work for this took place during October 2015.

We are now in the final phase of the audit, the completion stage. Some aspects of this stage are also discharged through this report.

#### Structure of this report

This report is structured as follows:

- Section 2 summarises the headline messages.
- Section 3 sets out our key findings from our audit work in relation to the 2014/15 financial statements of the Pension Fund.

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to take this opportunity to thank officers and Members for their continuing help and co-operation throughout our audit work.



Section two **Headlines** 

This table summarises the headline messages for the Pension Fund. Section three of this report provides further details on each area.

Proposed audit opinion	We anticipate issuing an unqualified audit opinion on the Pension Fund's financial statements as contained in the Pension Fund Annual Report by 30 November 2015.
Audit adjustments	Our audit has not identified any significant audit adjustments. A number of minor amendments focused on presentational improvements have been made to the draft financial statements.
	We have not raised any recommendations as a result of our audit of the Pension Fund in 2014/15.
Key financial statements audit risks	We review risks to the financial statements on an ongoing basis. We identified one significant risk specific to the Pension Fund during 2014/15 with respect to the financial statements. This risk related to the LGPS reforms which commenced on 1 April 2014.
	We have worked with officers throughout the year to discuss this key risk and our detailed findings are reported in section 3 of this report. There are no matters to report to you as a result of our audit work on this significant risk.
Accounts production and audit process	We have noted that the quality of the accounts and the supporting working papers has been maintained. Officers dealt efficiently with audit queries and the audit process has been completed within the planned timescales.
	The Authority has good processes in place for the production of the accounts and good quality supporting working papers. Officers dealt efficiently with audit queries and the audit process has been completed within the planned timescales.
Completion	At the date of this report our audit of the financial statements is complete subject to completing our final review and completion procedures.
	Before we can issue our opinion we require a signed management representation letter.
	We confirm that we have complied with requirements on objectivity and independence in relation to this year's audit of the Authority's financial statements.



We have not identified any issues in the course of the audit of the Fund that are considered to be material.

We anticipate issuing an unqualified audit opinion in relation to the Fund's financial statements, as contained in the Pension Fund Annual Report by 30 November 2015.

#### Section three

# Financial Statements Proposed opinion and audit differences

#### Proposed audit opinion

Subject to all review and completion procedures being resolved satisfactorily, we anticipate issuing an unqualified audit opinion on the Pension Fund's financial statements included in the Pension Fund Annual Report following approval by the Pensions Committee on 25 November 2015.

#### Audit differences

In accordance with ISA 260 we are required to report uncorrected audit differences to you. We also report any material misstatements which have been corrected and which we believe should be communicated to you to help you meet your governance responsibilities.

The final materiality level (see Appendix 3 for more information on materiality) for this year's audit was set at £20 million. Audit differences below £1 million are not considered significant.

We did not identify any significant misstatements.

We identified a small number of presentational adjustments required to ensure that the accounts are compliant with the *Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting in the United Kingdom 2014/15 ('the Code')*. We understand that the Pension Fund will be addressing these where significant.

#### **Pension Fund Annual Report**

We have reviewed the Pension Fund Annual Report and confirmed that it complies with the requirements of the Local Government Pension Scheme (Administration) Regulations 2008.

The statutory deadline for publishing the document is 1 December 2015.



## Section three Financial Statements (continued) Significant risks and key areas of audit focus

We have worked with the Authority throughout the year to discuss significant risks and key areas of audit focus.

This section sets out our detailed findings on those risks.

In our External Audit Plan 2014/15, presented to you in June 2015, we identified the significant risks affecting the Authority and the Fund's 2014/15 financial statements. We have now completed our testing of these areas and set out our evaluation following our substantive work.

The table below sets out our detailed findings for each of the risks that are specific to the Authority.

Significant audit risk	Issue	Findings
LGPS Reforms	From 1 April 2014, all members of the LGPS have automatically joined the new career average defined benefit scheme. The new scheme provides more flexibility on when members can take their pension and also how much they pay in. There is a risk that pension administration systems have not been set up to correctly reflect the changes resulting from LGPS 2014 and will therefore not accurately calculate the pension benefits due to members. While any errors in the system are unlikely to result in material misstatements in 14/15, the possible cumulative effect in future years means that specific audit work is needed on ensuring that the changes required to the system have been accurately reflected.	We have reviewed the controls and processes that the Pension Fund has put in place to accurately capture the data required by LGPS 2014. Our work focused on testing that the system has been set up to accurately calculate future benefit entitlement by testing a sample of calculations for members of the Pension Fund who retired in 2014/15. We have no matters to report in respect of the testing performed.



# Section three Financial Statements (continued) Significant risks and key areas of audit focus (continued)

In our *External Audit Plan 2014/15* we reported that we would consider two risk areas that are specifically required by professional standards and report our findings to you. These risk areas were Management override of controls and the Fraud risk of revenue recognition.

The table below sets out the outcome of our audit procedures and assessment on these risk areas.

Areas of significant risk	Summary of findings
Audit areas affected  Management override of  Audit areas	Our audit methodology incorporates the risk of management override as a default significant risk. Management is typically in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. We have not identified any specific additional risks of management override relating to this audit.
controls	In line with our methodology, we carried out appropriate controls testing and substantive procedures, including over journal entries, accounting estimates and significant transactions that are outside the normal course of business, or are otherwise unusual.
	There are no matters arising from this work that we need to bring to your attention.
Fraud risk of Audit areas affected	Professional standards require us to make a rebuttable presumption that the fraud risk from revenue recognition is a significant risk.
revenue None	In our External Audit Plan 2014/15 we reported that we do not consider this to be a significant risk for Local Authorities and Pension Funds as there is unlikely to be an incentive to fraudulently recognise revenue.
	This is still the case. Since we have rebutted this presumed risk, there has been no impact on our audit work.



The Authority has a well established and sound accounts production process. This operated well in 2014/15, and the standard of accounts and supporting working papers was good.

Officers dealt promptly and efficiently with audit queries and the audit process was completed within the planned timescales.

We confirm that we have complied with requirements on objectivity and independence in relation to this year's audit of the Authority's financial statements.

Before we can issue our opinion we require a signed management representation letter.

#### Section three

# Financial Statements (continued) Accounts production and audit process

#### Accounts production and audit process

ISA 260 requires us to communicate to you our views about the significant qualitative aspects of the Authority's accounting practices and financial reporting. We also assessed the Authority's process for preparing the accounts and its support for an efficient audit.

We considered the following criteria:

Element	Commentary	
Accounting practices and financial reporting	The Pension Fund continues to maintain a good financial reporting process and produce statements of accounts to a good standard. We consider that accounting practices are appropriate.	
Completeness of draft accounts	We received a complete set of draft accounts on 30 June 2015. The Pension Fund has made a small number of presentational changes to the accounts presented for audit however there have been no changes which we consider to be fundamental.	
Quality of supporting working papers	We issued our <i>Accounts Audit Protocol</i> including our required working papers for the audit in July 2015. The quality of working papers provided was good and met the standards specified in our <i>Accounts Audit Protocol</i> .	
Response to audit queries	Officers resolved all audit queries in a timely manner.	

#### Declaration of independence and objectivity

As part of the finalisation process we are required to provide you with representations concerning our independence.

In relation to the audit of the financial statements of the London Borough of Tower Hamlets Pension Fund for the year ending 31 March 2015, we confirm that there were no relationships between KPMG LLP and the London Borough of Tower Hamlets Pension Fund, its directors and senior management and its affiliates that we consider may reasonably be thought to bear on the objectivity and independence of the audit engagement lead and audit staff. We also confirm that we have complied with Ethical Standards and the Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd requirements in relation to independence and objectivity.

We have provided a detailed declaration in Appendix 2 in accordance with ISA 260.

#### **Management representations**

You are required to provide us with representations on specific matters such as your financial standing and whether the transactions within the accounts are legal and unaffected by fraud. We have provided a template to the Corporate Director of Resources for presentation to the Pensions Committee. We require a signed copy of your management representations before we issue our audit opinion.

#### **Other matters**

ISA 260 requires us to communicate to you by exception 'audit matters of governance interest that arise from the audit of the financial statements' which include:

- significant difficulties encountered during the audit;
- significant matters arising from the audit that were discussed, or subject to correspondence with management;
- other matters, if arising from the audit that, in the auditor's professional judgment, are significant to the oversight of the financial reporting process; and
- matters specifically required by other auditing standards to be communicated to those charged with governance (e.g. significant deficiencies in internal control; issues relating to fraud, compliance with laws and regulations, subsequent events, non disclosure, related party, public interest reporting, questions/objections, opening balances etc).

There are no others matters which we wish to draw to your attention in addition to those highlighted in this report.



# Appendices Appendix 1: Audit differences

Our audit has not identified any significant audit adjustments. A number of minor amendments focused on presentational improvements have been made to the draft financial statements. We are required by ISA 260 to report all uncorrected misstatements, other than those that we believe are clearly trivial, to those charged with governance (which in your case is the Audit Committee). We are also required to report all material misstatements that have been corrected but that we believe should be communicated to you to assist you in fulfilling your governance responsibilities.

#### Audit differences

Our audit has not identified any significant audit adjustments.

A number of minor amendments focused on presentational improvements have been made to the draft financial statements. The Finance Department are committed to continuous improvement in the quality of the financial statements submitted for audit in future years.



## Appendices Appendix 2: Declaration of independence and objectivity

The Code of Audit Practice requires us to exercise our professional judgement and act independently of both Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd and the Authority.

#### Requirements

Auditors appointed by Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd must comply with the *Code of Audit Practice* (the 'Code') which states that:

"Auditors and their staff should exercise their professional judgement and act independently of both the Commission and the audited body. Auditors, or any firm with which an auditor is associated, should not carry out work for an audited body that does not relate directly to the discharge of auditors' functions, if it would impair the auditors' independence or might give rise to a reasonable perception that their independence could be impaired."

In considering issues of independence and objectivity we consider relevant professional, regulatory and legal requirements and guidance, including the provisions of the Code, the detailed provisions of the Statement of Independence included within the Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd *Terms of Appointment* ('Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd Guidance') and the requirements of APB Ethical Standard 1 *Integrity, Objectivity and Independence* ('Ethical Standards').

The Code states that, in carrying out their audit of the financial statements, auditors should comply with auditing standards currently in force, and as may be amended from time to time. Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd guidance requires appointed auditors to follow the provisions of ISA (UK &I) 260 Communication of *Audit Matters with Those Charged with Governance*' that are applicable to the audit of listed companies. This means that the appointed auditor must disclose in writing:

Details of all relationships between the auditor and the client, its directors and senior management and its affiliates, including all services provided by the audit firm and its network to the client, its directors and senior management and its affiliates, that the auditor considers may reasonably be thought to bear on the auditor's objectivity and independence.

- The related safeguards that are in place.
- The total amount of fees that the auditor and the auditor's network firms have charged to the client and its affiliates for the provision of services during the reporting period, analysed into appropriate categories, for example, statutory audit services, further audit services, tax advisory services and other non-audit services. For each category, the amounts of any future services which have been contracted or where a written proposal has been submitted are separately disclosed. We do this in our Annual Audit Letter.

Appointed auditors are also required to confirm in writing that they have complied with Ethical Standards and that, in the auditor's professional judgement, the auditor is independent and the auditor's objectivity is not compromised, or otherwise declare that the auditor has concerns that the auditor's objectivity and independence may be compromised and explaining the actions which necessarily follow from his. These matters should be discussed with the Audit Committee and Pensions Committee.

Ethical Standards require us to communicate to those charged with governance in writing at least annually all significant facts and matters, including those related to the provision of non-audit services and the safeguards put in place that, in our professional judgement, may reasonably be thought to bear on our independence and the objectivity of the Engagement Lead and the audit team.

#### General procedures to safeguard independence and objectivity

KPMG's reputation is built, in great part, upon the conduct of our professionals and their ability to deliver objective and independent advice and opinions. That integrity and objectivity underpins the work that KPMG performs and is important to the regulatory environments in which we operate. All partners and staff have an obligation to maintain the relevant level of required independence and to identify and evaluate circumstances and relationships that may impair that independence.



## Appendices Appendix 2: Declaration of independence and objectivity (continued)

We confirm that we have complied with requirements on objectivity and independence in relation to this year's audit of the Authority's financial statements. Acting as an auditor places specific obligations on the firm, partners and staff in order to demonstrate the firm's required independence. KPMG's policies and procedures regarding independence matters are detailed in the *Ethics and Independence Manual* ('the Manual'). The Manual sets out the overriding principles and summarises the policies and regulations which all partners and staff must adhere to in the area of professional conduct and in dealings with clients and others.

KPMG is committed to ensuring that all partners and staff are aware of these principles. To facilitate this, a hard copy of the Manual is provided to everyone annually. The Manual is divided into two parts. Part 1 sets out KPMG's ethics and independence policies which partners and staff must observe both in relation to their personal dealings and in relation to the professional services they provide. Part 2 of the Manual summarises the key risk management policies which partners and staff are required to follow when providing such services.

All partners and staff must understand the personal responsibilities they have towards complying with the policies outlined in the Manual and follow them at all times. To acknowledge understanding of and adherence to the policies set out in the Manual, all partners and staff are required to submit an annual ethics and independence confirmation. Failure to follow these policies can result in disciplinary action.

#### **Auditor declaration**

In relation to the audit of the financial statements of the London Borough of Tower Hamlets Pension Fund for the financial year ending 31 March 2015, we confirm that there were no relationships between KPMG LLP and the London Borough of Tower Hamlets Pension Fund, its directors and senior management and its affiliates that we consider may reasonably be thought to bear on the objectivity and independence of the audit engagement lead and audit staff. We also confirm that we have complied with Ethical Standards and the Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd requirements in relation to independence and objectivity.



## Appendices Appendix 3: Materiality and reporting of audit differences

For 2014/15 our materiality for the Pension Fund is £20 million.

We have not identified any significant audit differences that need to be reported to the Pensions Committee.

#### Materiality

The assessment of what is material is a matter of professional judgment and includes consideration of three aspects: materiality by value, nature and context.

- Material errors by value are those which are simply of significant numerical size to distort the reader's perception of the financial statements. Our assessment of the threshold for this depends upon the size of key figures in the financial statements, as well as other factors such as the level of public interest in the financial statements.
- Errors which are material by nature may not be large in value, but may concern accounting disclosures of key importance and sensitivity, for example the salaries of senior staff.
- Errors that are material by context are those that would alter key figures in the financial statements from one result to another – for example, errors that change successful performance against a target to failure.

We used the same planning materiality reported in our External Audit Plan 2014/15, presented to you in June 2015

Materiality for the Pension Fund was set at £20 million which equates to around 1.8 percent of gross assets. We design our procedures to detect errors in specific accounts at a lower level of precision.

#### **Reporting to the Pensions Committee**

Whilst our audit procedures are designed to identify misstatements which are material to our opinion on the financial statements as a whole, we nevertheless report to the Pensions Committee any misstatements of lesser amounts to the extent that these are identified by our audit work.

Under ISA 260, we are obliged to report omissions or misstatements other than those which are 'clearly trivial' to those charged with governance. ISA 260 defines 'clearly trivial' as matters that are clearly inconsequential, whether taken individually or in aggregate and whether judged by any quantitative or qualitative criteria.

ISA 450 requires us to request that uncorrected misstatements are corrected.

In the context of the Pension Fund, we propose that an individual difference could normally be considered to be clearly trivial if it is less than £1 million.

Where management have corrected material misstatements identified during the course of the audit, we will consider whether those corrections should be communicated to the Pensions Committee to assist it in fulfilling its governance responsibilities.



### Appendices Appendix 4: KPMG Audit Quality Framework

Commitment to

continuous

improvement

Performance of

effective and

efficient audits

We continually focus on delivering a high quality audit.

This means building robust quality control procedures into the core audit process rather than bolting them on at the end, and embedding the right attitude and approaches into management and staff.

KPMG's Audit Quality Framework consists of seven key drivers combined with the commitment of each individual in KPMG.

The diagram summarises our approach and each level is expanded upon. At KPMG we consider audit quality is not just about reaching the right opinion, but how we reach that opinion. KPMG views the outcome of a quality audit as the delivery of an appropriate and independent opinion in compliance with the auditing standards. It is about the processes, thought and integrity behind the audit report. This means, above all, being independent, compliant with our legal and professional requirements, and offering insight and impartial advice to you, our client.

KPMG's Audit Quality Framework consists of seven key drivers combined with the commitment of each individual in KPMG. We use our seven drivers of audit quality to articulate what audit quality means to KPMG.

We believe it is important to be transparent about the processes that sit behind a KPMG audit report, so you can have absolute confidence in us and in the quality of our audit.

Tone at the top: We make it clear that audit quality is part of our culture and values and therefore non-negotiable. Tone at the top is the umbrella that covers all the drives of quality through a focused and consistent voice. Andrew Sayers as the Engagement Lead sets the tone on the audit and leads by example with a clearly articulated audit strategy and commits a significant proportion of his time throughout the audit directing and supporting the team.

Association with right clients: We undertake rigorous client and engagement acceptance and continuance procedures which are vital to the ability of KPMG to provide high-quality professional services to our clients.

**Clear standards and robust audit tools:** We expect our audit professionals to adhere to the clear standards we set and we provide a range of tools to support them in meeting these expectations. The global rollout of KPMG's eAudIT application has significantly enhanced existing audit functionality. eAudIT enables KPMG to deliver a highly technically enabled audit. All of our staff have a searchable data base, Accounting Research Online, that includes all published accounting standards, the KPMG Audit Manual Guidance as well as other relevant sector specific publications, such as the Audit Commission's *Code of Audit Practice*.

Recruitment, development and assignment of appropriately gualified personnel: One of the key drivers of audit quality is assigning professionals appropriate to the Authority's risks. We take great Association with care to assign the right people to the right the right clients clients based on a number of factors including their skill set, capacity and relevant experience. We have a well developed technical Clear standards Tone at and robust audit infrastructure across the firm that puts us in the top tools a strong position to deal with any emerging issues. This includes: Recruitment, - A national public sector technical director development and assignment who has responsibility for co-ordinating our of appropriately qualified response to emerging accounting issues, personnel

influencing accounting bodies (such as CIPFA) as well as acting as a sounding board for our auditors.

- A national technical network of public sector audit professionals is established that meets on a monthly basis and is chaired by our national technical director.

- All of our staff have a searchable data base, Accounting Research Online, that includes all published accounting standards, the KPMG Audit Manual Guidance as well as other relevant sector specific publications, such as the Audit Commission's *Code of Audit Practice*.

- A dedicated Department of Professional Practice comprised of over 100 staff that provide support to our audit teams and deliver our webbased quarterly technical training.



We continually focus on delivering a high quality audit.

This means building robust quality control procedures into the core audit process rather than bolting them on at the end, and embedding the right attitude and approaches into management and staff.

Quality must build on the foundations of well trained staff and a robust methodology. **Commitment to technical excellence and quality service delivery:** Our professionals bring you up- the-minute and accurate technical solutions and together with our specialists are capable of solving complex audit issues and delivering valued insights.

Our audit team draws upon specialist resources including Forensic, Corporate Finance, Transaction Services, Advisory, Taxation, Actuarial and IT. We promote technical excellence and quality service delivery through training and accreditation, developing business understanding and sector knowledge, investment in technical support, development of specialist networks and effective consultation processes.

**Performance of effective and efficient audits:** We understand that how an audit is conducted is as important as the final result. Our drivers of audit quality maximise the performance of the engagement team during the conduct of every audit. We expect our people to demonstrate certain key behaviors in the performance of effective and efficient audits. The key behaviors that our auditors apply throughout the audit process to deliver effective and efficient audits are outlined below:

- timely Engagement Lead and manager involvement;
- critical assessment of audit evidence;
- exercise of professional judgment and professional scepticism;
- ongoing mentoring and on the job coaching, supervision and review;
- appropriately supported and documented conclusions;
- if relevant, appropriate involvement of the Engagement Quality Control reviewer (EQC review);
- clear reporting of significant findings;
- insightful, open and honest two-way communication with those charged with governance; and
- client confidentiality, information security and data privacy.

**Commitment to continuous improvement:** We employ a broad range of mechanisms to monitor our performance, respond to feedback and understand our opportunities for improvement.

#### Our quality review results

Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd publishes information on the quality of work provided by us (and all other firms) for audits undertaken on behalf of them (<u>http://www.psaa.co.uk/audit-guality/principal-audits/kpmg-audit-guality/</u>).

The latest Annual Regulatory Compliance and Quality Report issued June 2015 showed that we are meeting the overall audit quality and regulatory compliance requirements.



© 2015 KPMG LLP, a UK limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved.